

AGENDA ITEM 10A

From: Ed Voice

Sent: Tuesday, December 17, 2013 3:15 PM

To: George Williamson; Colette Metz; Deardorff, Natalia (CDPH-PS-DDWEM); Wiedemann, Tony (CDPH-DDWEM); Lund, Ronnean (CDPH-DDWEM); Stamas, Pete (CDPH-DDWEM); Hinrichs, Richard (CDPH-DDWEM); PClemons@rcac.org

Subject: GSD Board meeting recording, December 16, 2013, Kimtu Water line, inducing growth

Dear CDPH and Humboldt LAFCo Staff and Commission,

Please listen to the attached recording of the December 16, 2013 GSD Board meeting. It talks about the possibility of the 7 homes at the Rivercrest subdivision applying for pre-planning grant funding to connect the Kimtu Water Line to Rivercrest. The interesting part of what was being said and stated by GSD staff (Jennie Short) and Board members (Dennis Bourassa, Rio Anderson, Linda Broderson), was the fact of inducing growth with another 8 inch water line from the Kimtu Water Line. not only to Rivercrest, but to the Garberville Airport area past Rivercrest, which includes anywhere from 30 to 40 more new connections and what would stop it from becoming even more.

This has been our concern all along, that the Kimtu Water Line extension was only being used to expand GSD infrastructure and services to induce growth.

If you read all the CDPH environmental documents concerning the Kimtu Water Line and the new GSD Water Treatment Plant CEQA MND, you will note that either project has nothing to do with each other and the project(s) will not induce growth or even be connected. These facts were made abundantly clear with CDPH and Humboldt LAFCo (2010). However, with what GSD is now proposing, is abundantly clear, GSD is going forward with planning and doing just that, by expanding the Kimtu Water Line Service for new water service connections beyond the 20 homes at Kimtu. That is called inducing growth.

It was my understanding that the issues at Kimtu and the reason to begin with, was a Water Health and Safety concern. Well it just seems to me (in my opinion) this was all just a way or excuse to run a 8 inch line down to Kimtu in the first place. I mean to say, these same exact issues came up during the public and agency comment period for the CEQA documents and before the Humboldt LAFCo Commission hearings back in May, July and September 2010. That to mitigate inducing growth and keep from preparing an EIR, the Kimtu Water Line was to only serve the 20 homes at Kimtu, nothing else.

It sounds formillur, that now GSD wants to use Rivercrest as a way to extend the Kimtu Water Line over the Sprowel Creek bridge and god knows where else?

Now, at this point is where I tell you, "I told you so".

Like I have been stating since 2009/2010, these projects are only about inducing growth, but circumventing the CEQA process. I think you can remember all of this, god know I talked about it enough and ad nauseum.

I am requesting this new information be included on the next Humboldt LAFCo Commission meeting agenda. I would also like to know if CDPH supports this project and the expansion of the Kimtu Water Line and if it stands behind what was stated in the Kimtu Water Line CEQA MND SCH # 2009082046.

Thank you very much for your time,
Ed Voice & Voice Family

Note: A full recording of the above referenced GSD Board agenda item is on file at LAFCo and available upon request